



Mapping electronic decoherence pathways in molecules

Ignacio Gustin^a (b), Chang Woo Kim^b, David W. McCamant^a (b), and Ignacio Franco^{a,c,1} (b)

Edited by Gregory S. Engel, The University of Chicago, Chicago, IL; received June 13, 2023; accepted October 25, 2023 by Editorial Board Member Sharon Hammes-Schiffer

Establishing the fundamental chemical principles that govern molecular electronic quantum decoherence has remained an outstanding challenge. Fundamental questions such as how solvent and intramolecular vibrations or chemical functionalization contribute to the decoherence remain unanswered and are beyond the reach of stateof-the-art theoretical and experimental approaches. Here we address this challenge by developing a strategy to isolate electronic decoherence pathways for molecular chromophores immersed in condensed phase environments that enables elucidating how electronic quantum coherence is lost. For this, we first identify resonance Raman spectroscopy as a general experimental method to reconstruct molecular spectral densities with full chemical complexity at room temperature, in solvent, and for fluorescent and non-fluorescent molecules. We then show how to quantitatively capture the decoherence dynamics from the spectral density and identify decoherence pathways by decomposing the overall coherence loss into contributions due to individual molecular vibrations and solvent modes. We illustrate the utility of the strategy by analyzing the electronic decoherence pathways of the DNA base thymine in water. Its electronic coherences decay in \sim 30 fs. The early-time decoherence is determined by intramolecular vibrations while the overall decay by solvent. Chemical substitution of thymine modulates the decoherence with hydrogen-bond interactions of the thymine ring with water leading to the fastest decoherence. Increasing temperature leads to faster decoherence as it enhances the importance of solvent contributions but leaves the earlytime decoherence dynamics intact. The developed strategy opens key opportunities to establish the connection between molecular structure and quantum decoherence as needed to develop chemical strategies to rationally modulate it.

quantum dynamics | open quantum systems | chemical design | resonance Raman | spectral densities

Chemistry builds up from the idea that molecular structure determines the chemical and physical properties of matter. This principle guides the modern design of molecules for medicine, agriculture, and energy applications. However, chemical design principles have mostly remained elusive for emerging quantum technologies that exploit the fleeting but transformative properties of quantum coherence responsible for the wave-like interference of microscopic particles. Specifically, currently, it is not understood how chemical structure should be modified to rationally modulate quantum coherence and its loss (1, 2).

To leverage Chemistry's ability to build complex molecular architectures for the development of next-generation quantum technologies, there is a critical need to identify methods to tune quantum coherences in molecules and better protect them from quantum noise (or decoherence) that arises due to uncontrollable interactions of the molecular degrees of freedom of interest with its quantum environment (3–6). Protecting and manipulating molecular coherences is also necessary to unshackle chemical processes from the constraints of thermal Boltzmann statistics, as needed to enhance molecular function through coherence (7), develop novel routes for the quantum control of chemical dynamics (8, 9), and the design of optical spectroscopies with enhanced resolution capabilities (10, 11).

In particular, electronic coherences generated by photoexcitation with ultrafast laser pulses in single-molecules and molecular arrays have received widespread attention (12, 13). These coherences dictate the photoexcited dynamics of molecules and, as such, play a pivotal role in our elementary description of photochemistry, photophysics, charge and energy transport, and in our understanding of vital processes such as photosynthesis and vision (14–22). These coherences decay on ultrafast (femtosecond) timescales due to the interaction of the electronic degrees of freedom with intramolecular vibrational modes and solvent (23–27). Despite considerable experimental and theoretical efforts to study electronic decoherence in molecules (12, 23, 24, 27–34), its basic chemical

Significance

Quantum coherence is the engine behind all quantum technologies. It is also needed to develop enhanced spectroscopies and to employ non-trivial quantum mechanical effects to enhance molecular function. Here we develop a strategy to finally unveil the basic chemical principles that underlie electronic quantum coherence loss for molecules immersed in condensed phase environments. By reconstructing spectral densities from resonance Raman experiments, we capture the decohering influence of the nuclear thermal environment with full chemical complexity. By decomposing the overall decoherence into contributions by solvent and specific vibrational modes, we provide much needed means to understand how quantum coherence is lost in molecular environments. Overall, these developments open the door toward the rational modulation of quantum coherence via chemical design and functionalization.

Author contributions: I.F. designed the research and supervised the project; I.G. performed the research and generated all plots; C.W.K. provided expertise on the theory of spectral densities; D.W.M. provided expertise on resonance Raman theory and experiments; and I.G. and I.F. wrote the manuscript with input from all authors.

The authors declare no competing interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission. G.S.E. is a guest editor invited by the Editorial Board.

Copyright © 2023 the Author(s). Published by PNAS. This article is distributed under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND).

¹To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: ignacio.franco@rochester.edu.

This article contains supporting information online at https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas. 2309987120/-/DCSupplemental.

Published November 28, 2023.

principles are still not understood. For instance, how does electronic coherences in, say, the DNA base thymine decohere in water? How do the different vibrations in the molecule and solvent contribute to the overall coherence loss and which one is dominant? How do chemical functionalization and isomerism influence the different contributions to the overall decoherence? Addressing these basic questions requires a general strategy to connect chemical structure of both molecule and solvent to quantum decoherence phenomena.

From an experimental perspective, the task requires a method that enables the decomposition of the overall decoherence into individual contributions by chemical groups. This is beyond what can be done using optical absorption or fluorescence (35), photon-echo (28–30), and even multidimensional laser spectroscopies (12). To varying extents, these techniques enable characterizing the overall decoherence time scale and the molecular Hamiltonian, but do not offer means to disentangle the contributions by specific functional chemical groups.

From a theory perspective, the challenge is that accurately capturing the decoherence requires a fully quantum quantitative description of the energetics and dynamics of the molecule in its chemical environment, and the computational cost of doing so increases exponentially with the size of the molecule and the environment. For this reason, most of our theoretical understanding of decoherence arises from model problems that, while extremely useful, do not fully capture the complexities of realistic chemical systems (36, 37). For instance, recent efforts to quantify electronic decoherence by explicitly propagating multidimensional quantum dynamics of molecules have so far been limited to systems at zero temperature and in vacuum (23, 26, 38–41), and thus are not informative of quantum decoherence in solvent and other condensed phase environments. In turn, efforts to include the influence of thermal environments implicitly through numerically exact quantum master equations, such as the HEOM (42, 43) and TEMPO (44), have thus far been mostly limited to simple models that do not necessarily capture the complexity of chemical environments (45–47).

Specifically, in quantum master equations the interaction between the molecular chromophore and its thermal environment is characterized by a spectral density, $J(\omega)$ (48), which quantifies the frequencies of the nuclear environment, ω , and their coupling strength with the electronic excitations. Unfortunately, the quantitative determination of $J(\omega)$ has remained elusive to theory (49–55), and its experimental characterization is limited (56–60). For this reason, most studies of molecular decoherence based on quantum master equations rely on simple models of the spectral density that do not capture the interactions of realistic chemical problems (45–47).

Here we introduce a general strategy to quantitatively characterize the electronic decoherence dynamics of molecules in realistic chemical environments and to map decoherence pathways; see Fig. 1. The strategy is based on reconstructing the spectral



Fig. 1. Mapping electronic decoherence pathways. (*A*) Photoexcitation of molecules creates electronic superposition states with coherences that decay on ultrafast timescales due to interactions of the electronic chromophore with the surrounding nuclei (solvent and intramolecular vibrations). Here we advance a method to quantify the overall decoherence and decompose it into contributions due to specific vibrational modes (*B*) and solvent (*C*), thus establishing decoherence pathways that link chemical structure with quantum decoherence. This is done by extracting the highly structured spectral densities for solvated molecules directly from resonance Raman experiments and using the theory of decoherence functions to isolate individual contributions by solvent and vibrational modes. The strategy opens exciting opportunities to unlock the chemical principles of electronic quantum decoherence.

density $J(\omega)$ from resonance Raman experiments (11, 61) and decomposing the overall coherence decay into contributions by individual vibrational and solvent modes. Using this strategy, we can now address previously inaccessible questions, such as the interplay of solvent and vibrational contributions and the influence of chemical substitution on quantum decoherence. The reconstructed spectral densities open opportunities to investigate molecular decoherence dynamics in realistic chemical environments using state-of-the-art methods in quantum dynamics. Further, the overall strategy connects chemical structure to quantum decoherence dynamics and opens unique opportunities to develop the chemical principles of quantum decoherence.

Quantum Decoherence Basics

Quantum coherences are usually defined as the off-diagonal elements of the density matrix $\sigma(t)$ expressed in a given basis. For molecular quantum dynamics, it is useful to think about coherences in the energy basis $\sigma_{eg}(t) = \langle e | \sigma(t) | g \rangle$ between an electronic ground $|g\rangle$ and excited state $|e\rangle$ as they lead to quantum beatings (10) that are visible in laser spectroscopies. During decoherence electronic superposition states with density matrix $\sigma = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$, where $|\psi\rangle = c_g |g\rangle + c_e |e\rangle$, decay to a statistical mixture of states $\sigma(t) = p_g |g\rangle\langle g| + p_e |e\rangle\langle e|$ where $p_i \geq 0$ are the state populations. This decay is ubiquitous and arises due to the interaction of the electrons with the surrounding nuclei (vibrations, torsions, and solvent) (62–64).

At zero temperature, electronic decoherence is understood as arising due to nuclear wavepacket evolution in alternative potential surfaces (23, 31-34, 65-67). In this view, the wavefunction of electrons and their nuclear environment is in an entangled state $|\Psi(t)\rangle = |g\rangle |\chi_g(t)\rangle + |e\rangle |\chi_e(t)\rangle$, where $|\chi_n\rangle$ is the nuclear wavepacket evolving in the ground n = g or excited n = epotential energy surface $E_n(\mathbf{x})$ and $\{\mathbf{x}\}$ are the nuclear coordinates. In this case, the electronic coherences $\sigma_{eg}(t) = \langle \chi_e(t) | \chi_g(t) \rangle$ decay with the overlap between the nuclear wavepackets in the ground and excited state. At finite temperatures T, for early times t (24, 65, 66, 68) the electronic coherences $|\sigma_{eg}(t)|^2 =$ $|\sigma_{eg}(0)|^2 \exp\left(-t^2/\tau_{eg}^2\right)$ decay like a Gaussian with a time scale $au_{eg} = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{\langle \delta^2 \mathcal{E}_{eg} \rangle}}$ dictated by fluctuations of the energy gap $\mathcal{E}_{eg}(\mathbf{x}) = E_e(\mathbf{x}) - E_g(\mathbf{x})$ due to thermal or quantum fluctuations of the nuclear environment. At high temperatures, $\tau_{eg} = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{sk_{\rm B}T}}$ is simply connected to the Stokes shift s (the difference between positions of the maxima of absorption and fluorescence due to a given electronic transition).

Reconstructing Spectral Densities from Resonance Raman

We first note that $J(\omega)$ can be reconstructed from resonance Raman (RR) spectroscopy as this technique provides detailed quantitative structural information about molecules in solution. While RR experiments are routinely used to investigate the vibrational structure and photodynamics of molecules (69–71), their utility for decoherence studies had not been appreciated before. A leading technique used to reconstruct $J(\omega)$ for molecules is difference fluorescence line narrowing spectra (Δ FLN) (56– 60, 72). The advantage of RR over Δ FLN is that it can be used in both fluorescent and non-fluorescent molecules (73–76) (instead of only fluorescent), in solvent (instead of glass matrices), at room temperature (instead of cryogenic temperatures), and that it offers the possibility of varying the nature of the solvent and the temperature.

In RR spectroscopy, incident light $E_{\rm L}$ of frequency ω chosen to be at resonance with an electronic transition is scattered inelastically, yielding a Stokes and an anti-Stokes signal E_S that changes the molecular vibrational state. In contrast to non-resonance Raman where selection rules restrict the transitions to vibrational modes that change the molecular polarizability, in RR all vibrational modes that are coupled to the electronic transition yield a signal (77). The theory of RR cross sections (see SI Appendix and refs. 11 and 78-81) is based on a two-surface molecular model, with Hamiltonian $H_M = H_g |g\rangle \langle g| + H_e |e\rangle \langle e|$. Here, $H_g = \sum_{\alpha} \left(\frac{p_{\alpha}^2}{2m_{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2}m_{\alpha}\omega_{\alpha}^2 x_{\alpha}^2 \right)$ is the ground-state state nuclear Hamiltonian, where x_{α} and p_{α} are the position and momentum operators of the α -th mode of effective mass m_{α} and frequency ω_{α} . In turn, the excited potential energy surface consists of the same set of nuclear modes but displaced in conformational space, i.e., $H_{e} = \hbar \omega_{eg} + \sum_{\alpha} \left(\frac{p_{\alpha}^{2}}{2m_{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2}m_{\alpha}\omega_{\alpha}^{2} (x_{\alpha} - d_{\alpha})^{2} \right)$ where $\hbar \omega_{eg}$ is the electronic excitation energy. The displacement along the α -th mode, d_{α} , determines the strength of the electronnuclear coupling as measured by the Huang-Rhys factor S_{α} = $\frac{1}{2\hbar}m_{\alpha}\omega_{\alpha}d_{\alpha}^2$ or reorganization energy $\lambda_{\alpha} = \hbar S_{\alpha}\omega_{\alpha}$. The overall Stokes shift *s* is determined by $s = 2\lambda = 2\sum_{\alpha}\lambda_{\alpha}$, where λ is the overall reorganization energy.

The RR experiments yield the frequencies of the vibrational modes { ω_{α} } and also the Huang-Rhys factors S_{α} which are extracted by fitting the signal to the RR cross section (11, 78–81). The analysis also yields contributions due to solvent, and other (sub 200 cm⁻¹) nuclear modes that cannot be experimentally resolved, to the overall line shape and Stokes shift which are captured by an overdamped oscillator with correlation time $\frac{1}{\Lambda}$ and reorganization energy λ_0 (82). The procedure that is routinely done to extract these parameters is detailed in *SI Appendix*.

This information is exactly what is needed to reconstruct the spectral density of molecules in solvent with full chemical complexity. In this case, the spectral density consists of a broad low-frequency feature $J_0(\omega)$ describing the influence of solvent and a series of discrete high-frequency peaks $J_{\alpha}(\omega)$ ($\alpha = 1, ..., N$) due to interaction of the chromophore with intramolecular vibrational modes. The basic functional forms for the spectral density have been isolated through physical models in which the thermal environment is described as a collection of harmonic oscillators (the so-called Brownian oscillator model) (11). This yields,

$$J(\omega) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{N} J_{\alpha}(\omega), \text{ where}$$

$$J_{\alpha}(\omega) = \frac{2}{\pi} \lambda_{\alpha} \omega_{\alpha}^{2} \frac{\omega \gamma_{\alpha}}{(\omega_{\alpha}^{2} - \omega^{2})^{2} + \omega^{2} \gamma_{\alpha}^{2}},$$
[1]

and $\{\gamma_{\alpha}^{-1}\}\$ are the vibrational lifetimes. The solvent spectral density can be adequately modeled via a Drude–Lorentz functional form $J_0(\omega) = \frac{2}{\pi} \lambda_0 \frac{\omega \Lambda}{\omega^2 + \Lambda^2}$ which arises from $J_{\alpha}(\omega)$ when the lifetimes are short (i.e. when $\omega_{\alpha}/\gamma_{\alpha} \ll 1$). Thus, all the parameters defining the spectral density can be extracted from the RR experiments. While the specific value of γ_{α} cannot be directly resolved using RR, its value plays no significant role in electronic decoherence as vibrational relaxation occurs on much

longer time scales for physical values of $\hbar \gamma_{\alpha} \in (1, 20) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S1) (49, 83, 84).

The spectral densities that can be extracted from RR are due to "pure dephasing" processes where there is no net exchange of energy between the system and the environment. That is, for processes in which the environment does not lead to electronic transitions or relaxation. In molecules, these pure dephasing processes have been the subject of intense interest as they typically occur in time scales that are much faster than the overall relaxation and dominate the decoherence dynamics (85, 86).

Naturally, this $J(\omega)$ reconstruction is limited by experimental constraints and the scope of validity of the displaced harmonic oscillator model. For instance, internal conversion due to conical intersections occurring on time scales comparable or faster than the dephasing, or unresolvable electronic state congestion, will invalidate the analysis. In addition, anharmonicities, frequency shifts and Duschinsky rotations (mode mixing) in the potential energy surfaces can introduce additional time scales to the decoherence that are beyond the displaced harmonic oscillator model (23, 32). However, numerical evidence thus far suggests that their influence occurs on time scales that are much slower than the overall dephasing in the condensed phase and thus they are expected to play a minor role (23, 32, 87).

Decoherence Pathways

Once a spectral density is extracted from RR experiments, the task is then to use it to capture the realistic quantum dynamics of the molecular chromophore. The challenge is that the computational cost of doing so increases exponentially with the number of features N + 1 in the environment.

Second, we note that pure-dephasing processes occurring in thermal harmonic environments admit an exact solution without explicit propagation. In this case (62, 88, 89), the decay of the off-diagonal elements of the density matrix $|\sigma_{eg}(t)| = |\sigma_{eg}(0)| \exp[-\Gamma(t)]$ is determined by the decoherence function

$$\Gamma(t) = \frac{1}{\hbar} \int_0^\infty d\omega J(\omega) \coth\left(\frac{\hbar\omega}{2k_B T}\right) \frac{1 - \cos(\omega t)}{\omega^2}, \quad [2]$$

where k_BT is the thermal energy. Thus, computing the decoherence dynamics amounts to accurately calculating $\Gamma(t)$ via numerical integration thus avoiding explicit time propagation of $\sigma(t)$.

Third, remarkably, in this context, it is possible to extract decoherence pathways in molecules by decomposing the overall decoherence into contributions due to solvent and specific vibrational modes. Inserting Eq. 1 into Eq. 2 leads to a decomposition of the decoherence function $\Gamma(t) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{N} \Gamma_{\alpha}(t)$ into individual contributions by specific nuclear modes

$$\Gamma_{\alpha}(t) = \frac{1}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\omega J_{\alpha}(\omega) \coth\left(\frac{\hbar\omega}{2k_{B}T}\right) \frac{1 - \cos(\omega t)}{\omega^{2}}.$$
 [3]

Alternative methods to recover the overall decoherence time such as photon-echo experiments (28–30), optical absorption crosssection (35) and even 2D electronic spectroscopy (12) cannot decompose the overall signal into contributions by solvent and specific vibrational modes. This unique aspect of our strategy opens a remarkable opportunity to develop chemical insights on decoherence dynamics by isolating contributions due to particular nuclear modes.

Electronic Decoherence in a DNA Nucleotide

Spectral Density and Overall Decoherence. To illustrate the power of the strategy, based on a RR analysis by Loppnow (90-92) we have reconstructed the spectral density for a DNA nucleotide (thymidine 5'-monophosphate), see Fig. 2, in water at 298 K and used it to scrutinize its decoherence dynamics. Fig. 3 shows the reconstructed spectral density and the overall decoherence dynamics. The parameters used to reconstruct the spectral density are listed in SI Appendix, Table S1. The spectral density (Fig. 3A) consists of a broad low-frequency feature (blue line, Inset) due to solvent and several sharp peaks due to intramolecular vibrations. The overall decoherence (Fig. 3B, red line) shows an initial Gaussian decay profile, followed by a partial recurrence at ~ 20 fs and a complete decay of coherence in \sim 30 fs. The Gaussian feature of the decoherence is a universal feature of initially separable system-bath states (24) and can be seen as arising due to the quantum Zeno effect. The recurrence signals the partial recovery of the nuclear wavepacket overlap $|\langle \chi_e(t)| \chi_{\sigma}(t) \rangle|$ due to the wavepacket dynamics in the excited state potential energy surface (23).

It is known that this DNA nucleotide also exhibits internal conversion due to the presence of a conical intersection. Such dynamics occurs on time scales of 100s of fs (93, 94) that are significantly longer than those required for the pure dephasing process to complete. This disparity in time scales makes the pure dephasing analysis to remain applicable even in the presence of such non-radiative electronic transitions.

Decoherence Pathways. To understand the role of solvent and intramolecular vibrations on the decoherence, Fig. 3*B* shows the contributions due to solvent (blue line), vibrations (dashed line), and solvent plus specific vibrational modes (yellow and orange lines). As shown, the early-time decoherence dynamics is dominated by vibrational contributions while the overall coherence decay is dictated by the solvent. Out of all vibrational modes in the molecule, we find that the mode at 1,663 cm⁻¹, plays the most important role. In fact, taking into account the solvent and just three peaks due to intramolecular vibrations (at 1,663 cm⁻¹, 1,376 cm⁻¹ and 1,243 cm⁻¹) accounts for most of the decoherence dynamics (yellow line). Overall, these results demonstrate the close interplay between solvent and intramolecular vibrations in the decoherence dynamics.

Normal Mode Analysis. To understand the nature of the intramolecular vibrational modes most responsible for the decoherence, we have modeled the resonance Raman spectra using time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT)



Fig. 2. Molecular structure of DNA base thymine (T) its nucleoside (T + S) and nucleotide (T + S + P).



Fig. 3. Decoherence dynamics of thymine nucleotide in water at 298 K. (A) Spectral density $J(\omega)$ reconstructed from RR experiments in refs. 90–92 with a vibrational damping factor $\hbar\gamma = 4 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. (B) Electronic decoherence (red line) and its contributions due to solvent (blue line) and intramolecular vibrations (dashed line). The remaining curves depict decoherence due to solvent plus selected vibrational modes (orange: 1,663 cm⁻¹; yellow: 1,663 cm⁻¹ + 1,243 cm⁻¹).

(95–98) (computational details are included in the *Materials and Methods*). To keep the computations tractable, we focus on the RR spectra of thymine as the spectral features of the nucleoside and nucleotide are nearly identical (90–92) and thus vibrational assignments in thymine are expected to also apply in the other two cases. Quantitative agreement between theory and experiment is obtained by including four explicit water molecules in the first solvation shell that are an active part of the vibrational motion of the molecule in its microsolvated environment and that are significantly coupled to the electronic transition.

The experimental and computed RR spectra are shown in *SI Appendix*, Fig. S3. The excellent agreement between theory and experiments enables us to make vibrational assignments to all the peaks in the spectral density. Fig. 4 details the frontier molecular orbitals for the electronic transition sampled by the RR experiment and the four normal modes responsible for the most prominent peaks in the spectral density (orange/yellow peaks in Fig. 3*A*). *SI Appendix*, Table S6 details the computed frequencies/Huang–Rhys factors for all 75 normal modes in the complex and *SI Appendix*, Fig. S4 provides an animation of the 10 normal modes most responsible for decoherence. The normal modes that contribute most importantly to the electronic decoherence are those that generate distortion around the thymine ring and/or the carbonyl bonds where the frontier orbitals involved in the electronic transition are located.

Temperature Dependence. To understand the role of temperature in electronic decoherence, we repeated the analysis in the 283–313 K range every 5 K while taking into account that for



Fig. 4. (*A*) Frontier molecular orbitals for thymine and (*B*) vibrational normal modes most important for electronic decoherence. HOMO/LUMO stand for highest occupied/lowest unoccupied molecular orbital. The computed normal modes account to the three most prominent peaks in the spectral density in Fig. 3. The color convention is as follows: carbon (black), hydrogen (white), nitrogen (cyan), and oxygen (red).

harmonic environments $J(\omega)$ is temperature independent. The results in Fig. 5 show that solvent effects become increasingly more important with temperature. In turn, the vibrational contributions (Fig. 5*B*) remain unaltered because thermal energy does not excite the vibrational modes that dictate decoherence. For this reason, the early-time decoherence dynamics is approximately independent of temperature as it is controlled by vibrational motion, while the overall decay is accelerated with increasing temperature leading to a reduction in the visibility of the coherence recurrence at ~20 fs. Additional experiments are needed to isolate the importance of emergent temperature dependence of $J(\omega)$ that can arise from differences in the mapping of chemical environments to harmonic models with varying temperature.

Early Time Decoherence. Recent efforts to understand electronic decoherence in molecules have focused on the influence of vibrational motion upon photoexcitation at 0 K (23, 26, 38–41). Figs. 3 and 5 show that this strategy accurately captures the early time coherence decay, which is dominated by high-frequency vibrations, but will not be able to correctly capture the overall coherence loss, which requires taking into account the solvent and the temperature dependence of the decoherence.

A useful formula for electronic decoherence time scales arises by focusing on early times, which yield a Gaussian decay $|\sigma_{eg}(t)| = |\sigma_{eg}(0)|e^{-t^2/\tau_d^2}$ (24, 31, 65). For harmonic



Fig. 5. Temperature dependence of the electronic decoherence for thymine nucleotide in water. (*A*) Full decoherence and (*B*) contributions due to vibrations only.

environments,
$$\tau_d^{-2} = \frac{1}{2\hbar} \int_0^\infty d\omega J(\omega) \coth\left(\frac{\hbar\omega}{2k_BT}\right) = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{\langle\delta^2 \mathcal{E}_{eg}\rangle}}$$

as can be seen by expanding the decoherence function $\Gamma(t)$ in Eq. 2 up to second order in time. Fig. 5*A* contrasts the exact decoherence dynamics with this Gaussian form (dashed line). As shown, this simple estimate accurately captures the early time decay but does not capture possible recurrences and overestimates the overall decoherence by a factor of ~2. Thus, such formulas are useful to understand the early stages of decoherence but exaggerate the decoherence rate for electrons in molecules.

Nevertheless, to capture the electronic decoherence for molecules immersed in solvent and other condensed phase environments, Fig. 5 underscores the utility of the simple Gaussian estimate as it captures the early-time decoherence dynamics correctly while avoiding explicitly solving the quantum dynamics. By contrast, computationally expensive efforts to capture the decoherence based on propagating the correlated quantum dynamics of electrons and nuclei at 0 K using Multi Configurational Time-Dependent Hartree (MCTDH) (26, 38, 39, 41, 99, 100) are only relevant for early times where finite temperature effects due to solvent do not play a role. Fig. 5 shows that this early-time region of the decoherence can be accurately captured while avoiding propagating the quantum dynamics all together.

Effect of Chemical Substitution. How does varying chemical structure influences quantum coherence loss? To address this question, we reconstructed the spectral densities of thymine (T), its nucleoside (T + sugar (S)) and nucleotide (T + S + S)



Fig. 6. Effect of chemical substitution on electronic decoherence. (*A*) Full electronic decoherence for the series (Fig. 2). (*B*) Electronic decoherence due to vibrations only. (*C*) Electronic decoherence due to solvent only. Spectral densities were reconstructed from data in refs. 90 and 92.

phosphate (P)) in water at 298 K from RR data in refs. 90 and 92. Fig. 6 details the overall decoherence and the contributions of intramolecular vibrations and solvent to the decoherence in this series, and Fig. 2 their chemical structure. The reconstructed $J(\omega)$ for thymine and its nucleoside, as well as all the needed parameters, are included in *SI Appendix*, Fig. S2 and Tables S2 and S3, respectively.

Surprisingly, the overall decoherence in thymine is the fastest, even when it is the smallest and least polar molecule in the set and admits fewer possible hydrogen-bond interactions with the solvent. This can be rationalized by noting that the electronic excitation is localized in the thymine ring (Fig. 4) and thus interactions with the solvent with atoms in this area are expected to have the strongest impact on the decoherence. In fact, in T the N–H termination in the ring, which is absent in T + S and T + S + P, strongly interacts with water through hydrogen bonding (101), accelerating the overall decoherence.

Coherence recurrences are prominent in T+S because solventinduced decoherence is the slowest in this case (Fig. 6*C*). By contrast, T shows no recurrences due to ultrafast decoherence due to the solvent. Fig. 6*B* details the decoherence due to intramolecular vibrations. The trend for the early time decoherence due to vibrations is opposite to the overall behavior with T showing the slowest decoherence, followed by T + S + P and then T + S. Thus, the overall decoherence trends in Fig. 6A are due to both contributions of solvent and intramolecular vibrations, even at early times.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have advanced a strategy that can be used to establish the chemical principles that underlie electronic quantum coherence loss in molecules. Previously inaccessible fundamental questions such as how chemical functionalization or solvent character contribute to the overall electronic decoherence, or how to develop chemical strategies to rationally modulate the decoherence can now be systematically addressed. The strategy is based on reconstructing spectral densities from resonance Raman experiments on molecular chromophores in solvent, and using the theory of decoherence functions to decompose the overall decoherence dynamics into contributions by individual solvent and vibrational modes, thus establishing decoherence pathways in molecules.

Using this strategy we were able to scrutinize the electronic decoherence dynamics of the DNA base thymine in room temperature water. In this case, the decoherence occurs in $\sim 20-$ 30 fs with the early stages dictated by intramolecular vibrations, while the overall decoherence time due to interactions with solvent. Chemical substitution of the thymine with sugar and sugar phosphate reveals a recurrence in the coherence dynamics that is suppressed by hydrogen bonding between thymine and water. The vibrational modes most responsible for the decoherence are those that distort the thymine ring and carbonyl bonds. Increasing the temperature accelerates the contributions of solvent to the decoherence but leaves the early-time decoherence dynamics intact. For pure-dephasing, this early stage of the decoherence is accurately captured by the theory of decoherence time scales (24, 65, 66, 68) which does not require propagating the quantum dynamics.

We envision the application of this strategy to elucidate the role of diverse chemical and biological environments to the decoherence, and to establish decoherence pathways for localized and delocalized, spin-specific and charge-transfer electronic excitations, and in molecules of varying size and rigidity. Further, the extracted spectral densities will be of general utility to characterize the dynamics of molecular chromophores with full chemical complexity using quantum master equations (42–44) of increasing sophistication, and to advance our computational

- M. R. Wasielewski et al., Exploiting chemistry and molecular systems for quantum information science. Nat. Rev. Chem. 4, 490–504 (2020).
- M. Atzori, R. Sessoli, The second quantum revolution: Role and challenges of molecular chemistry. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 141, 11339-11352 (2019).
- G. Z. Zhu et al., Functionalizing aromatic compounds with optical cycling centres. Nat. Chem. 14, 995–999 (2022).
- S. L. Bayliss *et al.*, Enhancing spin coherence in optically addressable molecular qubits through host-matrix control. *Phys. Rev. X* 12, 031028 (2022).
- 5. W. Hu, I. Gustin, T. D. Krauss, I. Franco, Tuning and enhancing quantum coherence time scales in molecules via light-matter hybridization. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **13**, 11503–11511 (2022).
- L. Viola, E. Knill, S. Lloyd, Dynamical decoupling of open quantum systems. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 82, 2417 (1999).
- G. D. Scholes et al., Using coherence to enhance function in chemical and biophysical systems. Nature 543, 647–656 (2017).
- 8. M. Shapiro, P. Brumer, *Quantum Control of Molecular Processes* (John Wiley & Sons, 2012).
- 9. S. A. Rice et al., Optical Control of Molecular Dynamics (John Wiley, 2000).
- L. Wang, M. A. Allodi, G. S. Engel, Quantum coherences reveal excited-state dynamics in biophysical systems. *Nat. Rev. Chem.* 3, 477–490 (2019).
- S. Mukamel, Principles of Nonlinear Optical Spectroscopy (Oxford University Press, 1995), vol. 6.

capabilities to accurately capture electron-nuclear interactions in condensed phase environments (49–51, 55).

Materials and Methods

RR Spectra from TD-DFT. To compute the resonance Raman spectra for thymine we adopted the following computational strategy. First, to determine the solvation structure around thymine we used Autosolvate to create microsolvated cluster structures (102). Using a two-layer ONIOM, we performed a preliminary analysis of the normal modes of the microsolvated thymine. In the ONIOM analysis (103, 104) the molecule was treated at a quantum mechanical (QM) level while the solvent was captured through a classical force field. For the QM computation we used Density Functional Theory (DFT) with the PBE0 functional and 6-311+G(d,p) as a basis. The normal mode analysis revealed four water molecules that most strongly couple to the molecule. The geometry of the thymine and the four water molecules were then optimized using DFT using both PBE0/6-311+G(d,p) and CAM-B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p). The overall dielectric environment was captured through a self-consistent reaction field (SCRF) (36) as the polarizable continuum model. The XYZ coordinates of the optimized geometries are shown in *SI Appendix*, Tables S4 and S5.

Using these structures, the resonance Raman spectra for thymine were computed using the vertical gradient (98) approach. Ground state potential energy surfaces were determined through DFT while electronic transitions and excited-state gradients through time-dependent DFT (95–97). The solvent was captured through the SCRF and the four explicit water molecules. The spectra was computed using both PBE0/6-311+G(d,p) and CAM-B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p). All computations were done using the Gaussian 16 simulation package (105). The computed frequencies and Huang-Rhys factors for the 75 normal modes in the complex are included in *SI Appendix*, Table S6. *SI Appendix*, Fig. S3 compares the computed RR spectra with the experimental results in refs. 90 and 91.

Integration of the Decoherence Function. To accurately compute the integral in Eq. **2** we employed the trapezoidal rule with a frequency step of 0.6 cm⁻¹. Recognizing the integrand as even, to improve accuracy we extended the integration to both negative and positive frequencies. The approach yields <0.1% errors in solvent reorganization energy and <0.001% errors in the total vibrational reorganization energy.

Data, **Materials**, **and Software Availability**. All study data are included in the article and/or *SI Appendix*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. This work was supported by a Pump Primer II research award of the University of Rochester. I.F. is supported by the NSF under Grant Nos. CHE-2102386 and PHY-2310657.

Author affiliations: ^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14627; ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Chonnam National University, Gwangju 61186, South Korea; and ^cDepartment of Physics, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14627

- S. Biswas, J. Kim, X. Zhang, G. D. Scholes, Coherent two-dimensional and broadband electronic spectroscopies. *Chem. Rev.* 122, 4257–4321 (2022).
- R. Dani, N. Makri, Time-evolving quantum superpositions in open systems and the rich content of coherence maps. J. Phys. Chem. B 126, 9361–9375 (2022).
- A. De Sio, C. Lienau, Vibronic coupling in organic semiconductors for photovoltaics. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 19, 18813–18830 (2017).
- T. R. Nelson *et al.*, Coherent exciton-vibrational dynamics and energy transfer in conjugated organics. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 2316 (2018).
- C. Kreisbeck, T. Kramer, Long-lived electronic coherence in dissipative exciton dynamics of light-harvesting complexes. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 3, 2828–2833 (2012).
- W. Popp, M. Polkehn, R. Binder, I. Burghardt, Coherent charge transfer exciton formation in regioregular P3HT: A quantum dynamical study. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 10, 3326–3332 (2019).
- S. M. Blau, D. I. Bennett, C. Kreisbeck, G. D. Scholes, A. Aspuru-Guzik, Local protein solvation drives direct down-conversion in phycobiliprotein PC645 via incoherent vibronic transport. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 115, E3342–E3350 (2018).
- F. C. Ramos, M. Nottoli, L. Cupellini, B. Mennucci, The molecular mechanisms of light adaption in light-harvesting complexes of purple bacteria revealed by a multiscale modeling. *Chem. Sci.* 10, 9650–9662 (2019).
- S. Hahn, G. Stock, Quantum-mechanical modeling of the femtosecond isomerization in rhodopsin. J. Phys. Chem. B 104, 1146–1149 (2000).

- E. Marsili, M. H. Farag, X. Yang, L. De Vico, M. Olivucci, Two-state, three-mode parametrization of the force field of a retinal chromophore model. J. Phys. Chem. A 123, 1710–1719 (2019).
- 22. C. Chuang, P. Brumer, Extreme parametric sensitivity in the steady-state photoisomerization of two-dimensional model rhodopsin. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. **12**, 3618–3624 (2021).
- W. Hu, B. Gu, I. Franco, Lessons on electronic decoherence in molecules from exact modeling. J. Chem. Phys. 148, 134304 (2018).
- 24. B. Gu, I. Franco, Generalized theory for the timescale of molecular electronic decoherence in the condensed phase. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 9, 773-778 (2018).
- M. Vacher, M. J. Bearpark, M. A. Robb, J. P. Malhado, Electron dynamics upon ionization of polyatomic molecules: Coupling to quantum nuclear motion and decoherence. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 118, 083001 (2017).
- C. Arnold, O. Vendrell, R. Santra, Electronic decoherence following photoionization: Full quantum-dynamical treatment of the influence of nuclear motion. *Phys. Rev. A* 95, 033425 (2017).
- D. T. Matselyukh, V. Despré, N. V. Golubev, A. I. Kuleff, H. J. Wörner, Decoherence and revival in attosecond charge migration driven by non-adiabatic dynamics. *Nat. Phys.* 18, 1206–1213 (2022).
- M. R. Salvador, M. A. Hines, G. D. Scholes, Exciton-bath coupling and inhomogeneous broadening in the optical spectroscopy of semiconductor quantum dots. J. Chem. Phys. 118, 9380–9388 (2003).
- A. E. Colonna, X. Yang, G. D. Scholes, Photon echo studies of biexcitons and coherences in colloidal CdSe quantum dots. *Phys. Status Solidi B* 242, 990–1000 (2005).
- X. Yang, T. E. Dykstra, G. D. Scholes, Photon-echo studies of collective absorption and dynamic localization of excitation in conjugated polymers and oligomers. *Phys. Rev. B* 71, 045203 (2005).
- H. Hwang, P. J. Rossky, An analysis of electronic dephasing in the spin-boson model. J. Chem. Phys. 120, 11380-11385 (2004).
- H. Hwang, P. J. Rossky, Electronic decoherence induced by intramolecular vibrational motions in a betaine dye molecule. J. Phys. Chem. B 108, 6723-6732 (2004).
- Y. Shu, D. G. Truhlar, Decoherence and its role in electronically nonadiabatic dynamics. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 19, 380–395 (2023).
- A. W. Jasper, D. G. Truhlar, Electronic decoherence time for non-Born-Oppenheimer trajectories. J. Chem. Phys. 123, 064103 (2005).
- E. J. Heller, The semiclassical way to molecular spectroscopy. Acc. Chem. Res. 14, 368–375 (1981).
- J. Tomasi, B. Mennucci, R. Cammi, Quantum mechanical continuum solvation models. *Chem. Rev.* 105, 2999–3094 (2005).
- C. Olbrich, J. Strümpfer, K. Schulten, U. Kleinekathöfer, Theory and simulation of the environmental effects on FMO electronic transitions. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2, 1771–1776 (2011).
- D. Dey, A. I. Kuleff, G. A. Worth, Quantum interference paves the way for long-lived electronic coherences. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129**, 173203 (2022).
- C. Arnold, O. Vendrell, R. Welsch, R. Santra, Control of nuclear dynamics through conical intersections and electronic coherences. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 123001 (2018).
- N. V. Golubev, T. Begušć, J. cv Vaníček, On-the-fly ab initio semiclassical evaluation of electronic coherences in polyatomic molecules reveals a simple mechanism of decoherence. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 125, 083001 (2020).
- 41. J. Vester, V. Despré, A. I. Kuleff, The role of symmetric vibrational modes in the decoherence of correlation-driven charge migration. *J. Chem. Phys.* **158**, 104305 (2023).
- Y. Tanimura, Numerically exact approach to open quantum dynamics: The hierarchical equations of motion (HEOM). J. Chem. Phys. 153, 020901 (2020).
- 43. T. Ikeda, G. D. Scholes, Generalization of the hierarchical equations of motion theory for efficient calculations with arbitrary correlation functions. *J. Chem. Phys.* **152**, 204101 (2020).
- 44. A. Strathearn, P. Kirton, D. Kilda, J. Keeling, B. W. Lovett, Efficient non-Markovian quantum dynamics using time-evolving matrix product operators. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 3322 (2018).
- J. Zhang, R. Borrelli, Y. Tanimura, Proton tunneling in a two-dimensional potential energy surface with a non-linear system-bath interaction: Thermal suppression of reaction rate. J. Chem. Phys. 152, 214114 (2020).
- C. Duan, C. Y. Hsieh, J. Liu, J. Wu, J. Cao, Unusual transport properties with noncommutative system-bath coupling operators. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 11, 4080-4085 (2020).
- L. Varvelo, J. K. Lynd, B. Citty, O. Kühn, D. I. Raccah, Formally exact simulations of mesoscale exciton diffusion in a light-harvesting 2 antenna nanoarray. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 14, 3077–3083 (2023).
- A. J. Leggett *et al.*, Dynamics of the dissipative two-state system. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 59, 1–85 (1987).
- S. Maity, V. Daskalakis, M. Elstner, U. Kleinekathöfer, Multiscale QM/MM molecular dynamics simulations of the trimeric major light-harvesting complex II. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 23, 7407–7417 (2021).
- C. W. Kim, B. Choi, Y. M. Rhee, Excited state energy fluctuations in the Fenna-Matthews-Olson complex from molecular dynamics simulations with interpolated chromophore potentials. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 20, 3310–3319 (2018).
- E. Cignoni, V. Slama, L. Cupellini, B. Mennucci, The atomistic modeling of light-harvesting complexes from the physical models to the computational protocol. *J. Chem. Phys.* **156**, 120901 (2022).
- S. J. Jang, B. Mennucci, Delocalized excitons in natural light-harvesting complexes. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 90, 035003 (2018).
- M. K. Lee, D. F. Coker, Modeling electronic-nuclear interactions for excitation energy transfer processes in light-harvesting complexes. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 7, 3171-3178 (2016).
- A. Kell, X. Feng, M. Reppert, R. Jankowiak, On the shape of the phonon spectral density in photosynthetic complexes. J. Phys. Chem. B 117, 7317–7323 (2013).
- M. S. Chen et al., Elucidating the role of hydrogen bonding in the optical spectroscopy of the solvated green fluorescent protein chromophore: Using machine learning to establish the importance of high-level electronic structure. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 14, 6610–6619 (2023).
- M. Rätsep, A. Freiberg, Electron-phonon and vibronic couplings in the FMO bacteriochlorophyll a antenna complex studied by difference fluorescence line narrowing. J. Lumin. 127, 251–259 (2007).

- M. Rätsep, J. Pieper, K. D. Irrgang, A. Freiberg, Excitation wavelength-dependent electronphonon and electron-vibrational coupling in the CP29 antenna complex of green plants. J. Phys. Chem. B 112, 110-118 (2008).
- J. Pieper, M. Rätsep, K. D. Irgang, A. Freiberg, Chromophore-chromophore and chromophoreprotein interactions in monomeric light-harvesting complex II of green plants studied by spectral hole burning and fluorescence line narrowing. J. Phys. Chem. B 113, 10870–10880 (2009).
- A. Freiberg, M. Rätsep, K. Timpmann, G. Trinkunas, Excitonic polarons in quasi-one-dimensional LH1 and LH2 bacteriochlorophyll a antenna aggregates from photosynthetic bacteria: A wavelength-dependent selective spectroscopy study. Chem. Phys. 357, 102–112 (2009).
- G. Gryliuk et al., Excitation energy transfer and electron-vibrational coupling in phycobiliproteins of the cyanobacterium Acaryochloris marina investigated by site-selective spectroscopy. Biochim. Biophys. Acta (BBA), Bioenerg. 1837, 1490–1499 (2014).
- J. Cina, Getting Started on Time-Resolved Molecular Spectroscopy (OUP, Oxford, UK, 2022).
- 62. H. P. Breuer, F. Petruccione, *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems* (Oxford University Press, New York, NY, 2002).
- M. A. Schlosshauer, Decoherence: And the Quantum-to-classical Transition (Springer Science & Business Media, 2007).
- 65. O. V. Prezhdo, P. J. Rossky, Relationship between quantum decoherence times and solvation dynamics in condensed phase chemical systems. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 5294 (1998).
- E. R. Bittner, P. J. Rossky, Quantum decoherence in mixed quantum-classical systems: Nonadiabatic processes. J. Chem. Phys. 103, 8130–8143 (1995).
- 67. G. A. Fiete, E. J. Heller, Semiclassical theory of coherence and decoherence. *Phys. Rev. A* 68, 022112 (2003).
- B. Gu, I. Franco, Quantifying early time quantum decoherence dynamics through fluctuations. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 8, 4289–4294 (2017).
- D. R. Dietze, R. A. Mathies, Femtosecond stimulated Raman spectroscopy. *ChemPhysChem* 17, 1224–1251 (2016).
- Z. Piontkowski et al., Excited state torsional processes in chalcogenopyrylium monomethine dyes. J. Phys. Chem. A 123, 8807–8822 (2019).
- C. Fang, L. Tang, Mapping structural dynamics of proteins with femtosecond stimulated Raman spectroscopy. Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 71, 239–265 (2020).
- J. Pieper et al., Excitonic energy level structure and pigment-protein interactions in the recombinant water-soluble chlorophyll protein. II. Spectral hole-burning experiments. J. Phys. Chem. B 115, 4053–4065 (2011).
- D. W. McCamant, P. Kukura, S. Yoon, R. A. Mathies, Femtosecond broadband stimulated Raman spectroscopy: Apparatus and methods. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 75, 4971–4980 (2004).
- D. W. McCamant, P. Kukura, R. A. Mathies, Femtosecond time-resolved stimulated Raman spectroscopy: Application to the ultrafast internal conversion in β-Carotene. J. Phys. Chem. A 107, 8208–8214 (2003).
- 75. P. Kukura, D. W. McCamant, R. A. Mathies, Femtosecond stimulated Raman spectroscopy. *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **58**, 461–488 (2007).
- S. Y. Lee, D. Zhang, D. W. McCamant, P. Kukura, R. A. Mathies, Theory of femtosecond stimulated Raman spectroscopy. J. Chem. Phys. 121, 3632–3642 (2004).
- J. L. McHale, "Resonance Raman spectroscopy" in Handbook of Vibrational Spectroscopy, J. M. Chalmers, P. R. Griffiths, Eds. (John Wiley & Sons Ltd., 2002).
- A. B. Myers, R. A. Mathies, D. J. Tannor, E. Heller, Excited state geometry changes from preresonance Raman intensities: Isoprene and hexatriene. J. Chem. Phys. 77, 3857 (1982).
- A. P. Shreve, R. A. Mathies, Thermal effects in resonance Raman scattering: Analysis of the Raman intensities of rhodopsin and of the time-resolved Raman scattering of bacteriorhodopsin. J. Phys. Chem. 99, 7285-7299 (1995).
- D. J. Tannor, E. J. Heller, Polyatomic Raman scattering for general harmonic potentials. J. Chem. Phys. 77, 202–218 (1982).
- J. B. Page, D. L. Tonks, On the separation of resonance Raman scattering into orders in the time correlator theory. J. Chem. Phys. 75, 5694–5708 (1981).
- B. Li, A. E. Johnson, S. Mukamel, A. B. Myers, The Brownian oscillator model for solvation effects in spontaneous light emission and their relationship to electron transfer. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 116, 11039–11047 (1994).
- D. I. G. Bennett, K. Amarnath, G. R. Fleming, A structure-based model of energy transfer reveals the principles of light harvesting in photosystem II supercomplexes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 135, 9164–9173 (2013).
- V. I. Novoderezhkin, M. A. Palacios, H. van Amerongen, R. van Grondelle, Energy-transfer dynamics in the LHCII complex of higher plants: Modified Redfield approach. J. Phys. Chem. B 108, 10363–10375 (2004).
- D. Von der Linde, K. Sokolowski-Tinten, J. Bialkowski, Laser-solid interaction in the femtosecond time regime. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **109**, 1–10 (1997).
- D. Brinks et al., Ultrafast dynamics of single molecules. Chem. Soc. Rev. 43, 2476–2491 (2014).
- T. J. Zuehlsdorff, H. Hong, L. Shi, C. M. Isborn, Nonlinear spectroscopy in the condensed phase: The role of Duschinsky rotations and third order cumulant contributions. J. Chem. Phys. 153, 044127 (2020).
- W. G. Unruh, Maintaining coherence in quantum computers. *Phys. Rev. A* 51, 992 (1995).
- G. M. Palma, K. A. Suominen, A. Ekert, Quantum computers and dissipation. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A452, 567–584 (1996).
- S. Yarasi, P. Brost, G. R. Loppnow, Initial excited-state structural dynamics of thymine are coincident with the expected photochemical dynamics. J. Phys. Chem. A 111, 5130–5135 (2007).
- S. Ng, S. Yarasi, P. Brost, G. R. Loppnow, Initial excited-state structural dynamics of thymine are coincident with the expected photochemical dynamics. J. Phys. Chem. A **112**, 10436–10437 (2008).
- B. E. Billinghurst, S. A. Oladepo, G. R. Loppnow, Initial excited-state structural dynamics of thymine derivatives. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **116**, 10496–10503 (2012).

- D. Onidas, D. Markovitsi, S. Marguet, A. Sharonov, T. Gustavsson, Fluorescence properties of DNA nucleosides and nucleotides: A refined steady-state and femtosecond investigation. J. Phys. Chem. B 106, 11367–11374 (2002).
- T. Gustavsson *et al.*, Singlet excited-state behavior of uracil and thymine in aqueous solution: A combined experimental and computational study of 11 uracil derivatives. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 128, 607–619 (2006).
- J. P. Perdew, M. Ernzerhof, K. Burke, Rationale for mixing exact exchange with density functional approximations. J. Chem. Phys. 105, 9982–9985 (1996).
- S. Grimme, J. Antony, S. Ehrlich, H. Krieg, A consistent and accurate ab initio parametrization of density functional dispersion correction (DFT-D) for the 94 elements H-Pu. J. Chem. Phys. 132, 154104 (2010).
- D. Rappoort, F. Furche, Property-optimized Gaussian basis sets for molecular response calculations. J. Chem. Phys. 133, 134105 (2010).
- P. Macak, Y. Luo, H. Ågren, Simulations of vibronic profiles in two-photon absorption. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 330, 447-456 (2000).

- U. Manthe, H. D. Meyer, L. S. Cederbaum, Wave-packet dynamics within the multiconfiguration Hartree framework: General aspects and application to NOCI. J. Chem. Phys. 97, 3199–3213 (1992).
- M. H. Beck, A. Jäckle, G. A. Worth, H. D. Meyer, The multiconfiguration time-dependent Hartree (MCTDH) method: A highly efficient algorithm for propagating wavepackets. *Phys. Rep.* 324, 1–105 (2000).
- L. Beyere, P. Arboleda, V. Monga, G. Loppnow, The dependence of thymine and thymidine Raman spectra on solvent. *Can. J. Chem.* 82, 1092–1101 (2004).
- E. Hruska, A. Gale, X. Huang, F. Liu, AutoSolvate: A toolkit for automating quantum chemistry design and discovery of solvated molecules. J. Chem. Phys. 156, 124801 (2022).
- S. Dapprich, I. Komáromi, K. S. Byun, K. Morokuma, M. J. Frisch, A new ONIOM implementation in Gaussian98. Part I. The calculation of energies, gradients, vibrational frequencies and electric field derivatives. J. Mol. Struct. Theochem. 461, 1–21 (1999).
- 104. L. W. Chung et al., The ONIOM method and its applications. Chem. Rev. 115, 5678–5796 (2015).
- 105. M. Frisch et al., Gaussian 16, Revision A. 03 (Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2016), vol. 3.